UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,150	05/31/2006	Marco Ronconi	242/9-2246	2031
²⁸¹⁴⁷ WILLIAM J. S.	7590 05/19/200 APON E		EXAMINER	
	JDOL SAPONE P.C.		CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C	
714 COLORAE BRIDGE PORT	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,150	RONCONI, MARCO	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NATHANIEL C. CHORWORAH	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		ETINOT KELET WAOTT	LLD WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or			he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c							
NOTE: The limitation as amended were previously	<u>rejected in office action, therefore</u>	e the final rejection ma	<u>iled 1/4/2008 is</u>				
<u>maintained</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DT01 004				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Rinaldi I Rada/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721							